## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 33/2013 [2013] NZSC 66

BETWEEN ALESCO NEW ZEALAND LIMITED First Applicant

> PARBURY BUILDING PRODUCTS (NZ) LIMITED Second Applicant

THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC NEW ZEALAND LIMITED Third Applicant

ALESCO NZ TRUSTEE LIMITED Fourth Applicant

CONCRETE PLUS LIMITED Fifth Applicant

AND COMMISSIONER OF INLAND REVENUE Respondent

Court:	McGrath, William Young and Arnold JJ
Counsel:	L McKay, R G Simpson and M McKay for Applicants B W F Brown QC and R L Roff for Respondent
Judgment:	9 July 2013

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A Leave to appeal is granted.
- B The approved grounds of appeal are whether, in light of the principles laid down by this Court in *Ben Nevis Forestry Ventures Ltd v Commissioner of Inland Revenue*<sup>1</sup> and other cases on tax avoidance:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ben Nevis Forestry Ventures Ltd v Commissioner of Inland Revenue [2008] NZSC 115, [2009] 2 NZLR 289.

- (i) the structure used by the applicants for funding the transactions is a tax avoidance arrangement;
- (ii) the Commissioner's application of shortfall penalties was a proper exercise of the relevant statutory powers;
- (iii) the Commissioner's reassessments were a proper exercise of the relevant statutory powers.

Solicitors: Bell Gully, Auckland for Applicants Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent